



# Addendum of Newer Anticoagulants to the SIR Consensus Guideline

Indravadan J. Patel, MD, Jon C. Davidson, MD, Boris Nikolic, MD, MBA, Gloria M. Salazar, MD, Marc S. Schwartzberg, MD, T. Gregory Walker, MD, Wael E. Saad, MD, for the Standards of Practice Committee, with Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiological Society of Europe (CIRSE) Endorsement

Appropriate periprocedural management of the hematologic parameters in a patient undergoing percutaneous image-guided intervention is highly complex, considering the wide range of procedures and patient demographics. This is further complicated by both the use of short-term and long-term anticoagulants and the increasing use of antiplatelet agents and other medications. Unfortunately, there is currently a general paucity of objective medical data regarding the periprocedural management of patients with abnormal coagulation parameters.

In the absence of strong evidence regarding periprocedural management of this patient category, members of the Standards of Practice Committee of the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR) have proposed general recommendations that may be useful to the practicing interventionalist. The various classes of medications that affect patient coagulation parameters were critically reviewed. When the evidence of literature was weak, conflicting, or contradictory, consensus for the parameter was reached by a minimum of 12 Standards of Practice Committee members by using a modified Delphi consensus method (1). For the purposes of these documents, consensus is defined as 80% Delphi participant agreement on a value or parameter.

A time lapse of 5 half-lives of a particular agent (equivalent to about 3% of residual drug activity from the initial dose) is frequently used as a means of normalizing a patient's bleeding risk (2,3). However, the use of laboratory coagulation thresholds is preferable, as half-lives may vary considerably in individual patients due to factors such as the potential presence of drug-drug interactions, idiosyncratic factors, differences in drug metabolism, or genetic influences. Additionally, the exact time point of drug discontinuation may be uncertain or unreliable. Nevertheless, when appropriate laboratory coagulation parameters are unavailable, disproportionately costly, or logistically cumbersome, the use of 5 half-lives to normalize bleeding risk can be adequate.

In this table formatted document, we summarize some of the current medications and available literature regarding periprocedural coagulation parameter surveillance and medical management of patients undergoing percutaneous image-guided procedures (Tables 1 and 2). Because of the lack of randomized controlled studies or other high-level evidence on this topic, a Delphi panel of experts constructed

a set of consensus guidelines to serve as a reference for the practicing interventionalist. Although it is likely that individual practice parameters will vary from this document, each practitioner should monitor outcomes and look for trends, both positive and negative, which may suggest modifications or adjustments to these parameters. For example, the risk of a cardiovascular or thromboembolic event must be weighed against the risk of bleeding for a given patient undergoing a specific procedure. For that reason, the management of patients undergoing image-guided interventions is a continually evolving paradigm, with local factors, such as procedure type and patient selection, influencing these general consensus guidelines.

## REFERENCES

1. Fink A, Koseoff J, Chassin M, Brook RH. Consensus methods: characteristics and guidelines for use. *Am J Public Health* 1984; 74: 979–998.
2. Douketis JD, Berger PB, Dunn AS, et al. The perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). *Chest* 2008; 133:299S–339S.
3. Munster T, Furst DE. Pharmacotherapeutic strategies for disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD) combinations to treat rheumatoid arthritis (RA). *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 1999; 17:S29–S36.
4. Patel IJ, Davidson JC, Nikolic B, et al. Consensus guidelines for the periprocedural management of coagulation status and hemostasis risk in percutaneous image-guided interventions. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2012; 23: 727–736.
5. Ferraris VA, Ferraris SP, Saha SP. Antiplatelet drugs: mechanisms and risks of bleeding following cardiac operations. *Int J Angiol* 2011; 20:1–18.
6. Braunwald E, Antman EM, Beasley JW, et al. American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association. Committee on the Management of Patients With Unstable Angina. ACC/AHA 2002 guideline update for the management of patients with unstable angina and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction—summary article: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines (Committee on the Management of Patients With Unstable Angina). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002; 40:1366–1374.

From the Department of Radiology (I.J.P., J.C.D.), University Hospitals of Cleveland, Cleveland, Ohio; Department of Radiology (B.N.), Stratton Albany Medical Center, Albany, New York; Department of Imaging (G.M.S.), Vascular Imaging and Intervention, Harvard Medical School, Boston; Section of Cardiovascular Imaging and Intervention (T.G.W.), Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; Radiology Associates of Central Florida (M.S.S.), Leesburg, Florida; Department of Radiology (W.A.S.), University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, Virginia. Received December 10, 2012; accepted December 10, 2012. Address correspondence to J.C.D., Society of Interventional Radiology, 3975 Fair Ridge Dr, Ste 400, North, Fairfax, VA 22033.; E-mail: jon.davidson@uhhospitals.org

This article first appeared in *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2009; 20:S240–S249

This document was endorsed by CIRSE in the first version.

This report is an addendum to the prior SIR Standards of Practice publication entitled "Consensus Guidelines for Periprocedural Management of Coagulation Status and Hemostasis Risk in Percutaneous Image-guided Interventions." The authors very much appreciate Drs. Vyacheslav Gendel and Michael L. Censullo for highlighting the need for reviewing the newer medications encompassed here. Their input triggered preparation and rapid publication of this report.

None of the authors have identified a conflict of interest.

© SIR, 2013

*J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2013; 24:641–645

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvir.2012.12.007>

**Table 1.** Periprocedural Coagulation Parameter Surveillance and Medical Management of Patients Undergoing Percutaneous Image-Guided Procedures

Category	1	2	3
<b>Procedure</b>	<p>Nontunneled venous catheter</p> <p>Dialysis access interventions</p> <p>Central line removal</p> <p>IVC filter placement</p> <p>Venography</p> <p>Catheter exchange (biliary, nephrostomy, abscess drainage catheter)</p> <p>Thoracentesis</p> <p>Paracentesis</p> <p>Thyroid biopsy</p> <p>Joint aspiration/injection</p> <p>Superficial aspiration, drainage, and/or biopsy (excluding intrathoracic or intraabdominal sites)</p>	<p>Angiography (arterial intervention with access size up to 7-F)</p> <p>Venous interventions</p> <p>Chemoembolization/radioembolization</p> <p>Uterine fibroid embolization</p> <p>Transjugular liver biopsy</p> <p>Tunneled venous catheter</p> <p>Subcutaneous port device placement</p> <p>Abscess drainage</p> <p>Biopsy (excluding superficial and renal)</p> <p>Percutaneous cholecystostomy</p> <p>Enteric tube placement, initial</p> <p>Spinal procedures (vertebroplasty, kyphoplasty, lumbar puncture, epidural injection, facet block)</p>	<p>TIPS</p> <p>Renal biopsy</p> <p>Radiofrequency ablation</p> <p>Nephrostomy tube placement</p> <p>Biliary interventions (new tract)</p>
<b>Tests</b>	<p>INR: recommended</p> <p>aPTT: recommended</p> <p>Platelet count: not routinely recommended</p> <p>Hematocrit: not routinely recommended</p>	<p>INR: recommended</p> <p>aPTT: recommended</p> <p>Platelet count: recommended</p> <p>Hematocrit: not routinely recommended</p>	<p>INR: recommended</p> <p>aPTT: recommended</p> <p>Platelet count: recommended</p> <p>Hematocrit: not routinely recommended</p>
<b>Thresholds</b>	<p>INR: correct to <math>\leq 2.0</math></p> <p>Platelets: <math>\leq 50,000/\mu\text{L}</math> recommend transfusion</p> <p>aPTT: no consensus</p>	<p>INR: correct to <math>\leq 1.5</math></p> <p>Platelets: <math>\leq 50,000/\mu\text{L}</math> recommend transfusion</p> <p>aPTT: no consensus (trend toward correcting for values <math>\geq 1.5\times</math> control, 73% consensus)</p>	<p>INR: correct to <math>\leq 1.5</math></p> <p>Platelets: <math>\leq 50,000/\mu\text{L}</math> recommend transfusion</p> <p>aPTT: correct so that value is <math>\leq 1.5\times</math> control</p>

**Table 2.** Current Medications and Management Recommendations (4–11)

Medications	Category I Procedure (Low Bleeding Risk)	Category II Procedure (Moderate Risk of Bleeding)	Category III Procedure (Significant Bleeding Risk/ Bleeding Difficult to Detect)
<b>Warfarin (Coumadin)</b>	Withhold 3–5d • INR ≤ 2.0	Withhold 5d • INR ≤ 1.5	Withhold 5d • INR ≤ 1.5
<b>Aspirin*</b>	Do not withhold	Do not withhold	Withhold 5 d before procedure
<b>Heparin (unfractionated)</b>	No consensus • Check aPTT	No consensus • aPTT—trend toward correcting for values ≥ 1.5× control, 73% consensus	Withhold 2–4 h before procedure • aPTT ≤ 1.5x control
<b>LMWH (therapeutic dose)</b>	Withhold 1 dose or 12 h before procedure	Withhold 1 dose or 12 h before procedure	Withhold 2 doses or 24 h before procedure
<b>Fondaparinux</b>	Do not withhold	Withhold • 2–3 d (CrCl ≥ 50 mL/min) • 3–5 d (CrCl ≤ 50 mL/min)	Withhold • 2–3 d (CrCl ≥ 50 mL/min) • 3–5 d (CrCl ≤ 50 mL/min)
<b>Thienopyridines*</b>			
<b>Clopidogrel (Plavix)*</b>	Withhold for 0–5 d before procedure	Withhold for 5 d before procedure	Withhold for 5 d before procedure
<b>Prasugrel (Effient)*</b>			
<b>Ticlopidine(Ticlid)*</b>	Withhold for 0–5 d before procedure	Withhold for 7 d before procedure	Withhold for 7 d before procedure
<b>NSAIDs</b>			
<b>Short-acting (half-life 2–6 h)</b> • Ibuprofen • Diclofenac • Ketoprofen • Indomethacin	Do not withhold	Do not withhold	Withhold 24 h before procedure
<b>Intermediate-acting (half-life 7–15 h)</b> • Naproxen • Sulindac • Diflunisal • Celecoxib	Do not withhold	Do not withhold	Withhold 2–3 d before procedure
<b>Long-acting (half-life &gt; 20 h)</b> • Meloxicam • Nabumetone • Piroxicam	Do not withhold	Do not withhold	Withhold 10 d before procedure

(Continued)

**Table 2.** Current Medications and Management Recommendations (4–11) (Continued)

Medications	Category I Procedure (Low Bleeding Risk)	Category II Procedure (Moderate Risk of Bleeding)	Category III Procedure (Significant Bleeding Risk, Bleeding Difficult to Detect)
<b>Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors</b>			
<b>Long-acting</b> ● <b>Abciximab (ReoPro)</b>	Withhold 12–24 h before procedure ● aPTT ≤ 50 s ● ACT ≤ 150 s	Withhold 24 h before procedure ● aPTT ≤ 50 s ● ACT ≤ 150 s	Withhold 24 h before procedure ● aPTT ≤ 50 s ● ACT ≤ 150 s
<b>Short-acting</b> ● <b>Eptifibatide (Integrilin)</b> ● <b>Tirofiban (Aggrastat)</b>	Withhold immediately before procedure	Withhold 4 h before procedure	Withhold 4 h before procedure
<b>Direct thrombin inhibitors</b>			
<b>Argatroban</b>	Do not withhold	Defer procedure until off medication. If procedure is stat. or emergent, withhold 4 h before procedure.	Defer procedure until off medication. If procedure is stat. or emergent, withhold 4 h before procedure.
<b>Bivalirudin (Angiomax)</b>	Do not withhold	Defer procedure until off medication. If procedure is stat. or emergent, withhold ● 2–3 h (CrCl ≥ 50 mL/min) ● 3–5 h (CrCl ≤ 50 mL/min)	Defer procedure until off medication. If procedure is stat. or emergent, withhold ● 2–3 h (CrCl ≥ 50 mL/min) ● 3–5 h (CrCl ≤ 50 mL/min)
<b>Dabigatran (Pradaxa)</b>	Do not withhold	Defer procedure until off medication. If procedure is stat. or emergent, withhold ● 2–3 d (CrCl ≥ 50 mL/min) ● 3–5 d (CrCl ≤ 50 mL/min)	Defer procedure until off medication. If procedure is stat. or emergent, withhold ● 2–3 d (CrCl ≥ 50 mL/min) ● 3–5 d (CrCl ≤ 50 mL/min)

There was an 80% consensus on each of these recommendations unless stated otherwise. The management recommendations for each coagulation defect and drug assume that no other coagulation defect is present and that no other drug that might affect coagulation status has been administered.

1-Deamino-8-D-arginine vasopressin may be indicated before image-guided procedures in patients with haemophilia and von Willebrand's disease (12–13).

\*One can and should afford exception to emergency procedures. Likewise, patients unable to safely discontinue anticoagulation for any number of medical reasons, including but not limited to, recent coronary or cerebrovascular stents can and should be afforded a degree of variance from the guidelines above.

ACT=activated clotting time, aPTT=activated partial thromboplastin time, CrCl=creatinine clearance, INR=international normalized ratio, IVC=inferior vena cava, LMWH=low-molecular-weight heparin, NSAIDs=nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, TIPS=transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt.

7. Fox KA, Mehta SR, Peters R, et al. Benefits and risks of the combination of clopidogrel and aspirin in patients undergoing surgical revascularization for non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome: the Clopidogrel in Unstable angina to prevent Recurrent ischemic Events (CURE) trial. *Circulation* 2004; 110:1202–1208.
8. Lee LY, DeBois W, Krieger KH, et al. The effects of platelet inhibitors on blood use in cardiac surgery. *Perfusion* 2002; 17:33–37.
9. Dyke C, Bhatia D. Inhibitors of the platelet receptor glycoprotein IIb/IIIa and complications during percutaneous coronary revascularization: management strategies for the cardiac surgeon. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)* 1999; 40:505–516.
10. Gogarten W, Vandermeulen E, Van Aken H, et al. Regional anaesthesia and antithrombotic agents: recommendations of the European Society of Anaesthesiology. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2010; 27:999–1015.
11. Wann LS, Curtis AB, Ellenbogen KA, et al. ACCF/AHA/HRS focused update on the management of patients with atrial fibrillation (update on dabigatran): a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011; 57:1330–1337.
12. Mannucci PM, Ruggeri Z, Pareti F, Capitanio A. 1-deamino-8-arginine vasopressin: a new pharmacological approach to the management of haemophilia and von Willebrand's disease. *Lancet* 1977:869–872.
13. Mannucci PM. Desmopressin (DDAVP) in the treatment of bleeding disorders: the first 20 years. *Blood* 1997; 90:2515–2521.